

Question Comparisons	CQPWIN	CTDOS - CTWIN	N1MM Logger	WriteLog	Win-Test
Responses By --->	Andy, AE6Y	Dean, N6BV	John, K6MM	Tom, W2SC	Bob, N6TV
1. What type of user is this logger best suited for?	1. Occasional contester 2. Serious single-op	Someone already familiar with CT	1. Someone wanting to upgrade from a DOS-based program to Windows-based 2. A Beginning User wanting more features 3. SO1V user moving up to SO2R capability 4. Stations needing Multi-Multi capability	1. A SO2R station with advanced SO2R hardware - W5XD Multikeyer - EZMaster - (DX Doubler) 2. A simpler station without any DVK or external WinKeyer hardware - CW sent via LPT and Serial - DVK using internal sound card	1. Anyone comfortable using CT by K1EA 2. A Top Ten box aspirant - Win-Test was designed for you 3. A multi-multi operator - Strong networking, battle tested at K3LR, HC8N 4. A DXpedition operator - VP6DX logged 183,000 QSOs with Win-Test
2. What three things distinguish this logger from others?	1. Robust program 2. Easy to set up and use 3. "It won't do everything possible, but it won't drive you nuts, either."	1. It is stable 2. It is familiar – particularly in multi-multis 3. It only needs low-end computer hardware	1. Advanced Features - "Enter-Sends-Message (ESM) - Saves keystrokes - Works in both Run and S&P - Free-Flow entry for Sweepstakes 2. Creative User interface 3. Truly excellent support	1. 48 hour friendly 2. Single computer SO2R 3. Skip letter SCP Contest recording - Compression - Point and Click playback	1. Clean CT look and feel - Keyboard remapping via DEFINEKEYS command - Data entry done right - Right click for appropriate context menu 2. Bullet-proof networking - A must for any multi-multi, or single-op hot standby 3. Advanced features - Super Check Partial N+1 - Gray line map - Integration with Ham CAP propagation SW (QSY Wizard) - SO2R support, secondary radio window - Advanced rate charts / real-time statistics
3. What are the three most significant shortfalls of this logger?	1. No multi-op network support 2. No band-map or packet cluster 3. Limited contest support	1. It doesn't support all radios 2. CT-WIN doesn't support K1EA DVP 3. It only has a single band map for SO2R	1. Just because a feature is listed, doesn't mean it is completely refined (but most are) 2. Canned CW or voice message lists must be manually stored and recalled for each contest 3. Handling of multiplier lists for different contests sometimes awkward	1. Insufficient real time error checking 2. Serial and Parallel port obsolescence 3. Innovation has slowed - N+1 Call checking - Rotor Control (Doing this myself) - SCP windows for both radios - Propagation calculator	1. No support for the California QSO Party (yet) 2. No keyer paddle support via LPT pins - WinKeyer or other external keyer required for paddle keying 3. Only two MMTTY windows supported for RTTY contests (should support more)

Question Comparisons	CQPWIN	CTDOS - CTWIN	N1MM Logger	WriteLog	Win-Test
Responses By --->	Andy, AE6Y	Dean, N6BV	John, K6MM	Tom, W2SC	Bob, N6TV
<p>4. Why did you choose, or migrate to, this logger? Why should someone, in general, choose this logger?</p>	<p>AE6Y: Desire to win the category of "contester using his own software" [Occasionally beaten by N6TR]</p> <p>Others: Ease of use, simplicity, large type</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Some who is already very familiar with CT 2. Neither CT-WIN nor CT (DOS) are the best software for a newcomer because of support issues 3. I probably will go to N1MM Logger or WIN-TEST 4. I don't know what path the N6RO multi-multi will ultimately take 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. N + 1 Check Partial 2. Powerful Bandmaps 3. Covers Every Major Contest 4. Available Mults & QSOs Window 5. Post-Contest Statistics 6. Common Access Databases 7. Creative User Interface 8. "Enter-Sends-Message (ESM) Mode 9. Amazing Support 10. Us "MMs" Have To Stick Together :-) 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is easy, especially networking and DVK 2. It works 3. It is reliable 4. Good performance on modest hardware 5. SO2R capable 6. Good support from W5XD ... but only if you are nice 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It was time to move away from CT and PC DOS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Remaining CT bugs just not being addressed - Wanted to use additional screen real estate 2. CT look and feel and hardware ports – an easy migration 3. All the top operators in Europe swear by it 4. It has a solid reputation, crash-proof, good support (bug database, active reflector) 5. Ease of operation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It won't slow you down or let you down - NA Sprint, NCCC Sprint modes
					<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Worth every penny (€45 or about \$70) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Support is better, V4 coming Summer, 2008 - Reflector traffic is reasonable - One license per station, not per computer - Obtain registration keys via email robot (key is unique to each computer) 7. Choose it to get ready for your next multi-multi or DXpedition opportunity 8. Download trial version from http://www.win-test.com